arXiv:hep-ph/9607208v1 1 Jul 1996

Erratum for "Nucleosynthesis Constraints on Active-Sterile..."

by

V. B. Semikoz and J. W. F. Valle,

published in

Nucl. Phys. **B425** (1994) 65.

The magnetization asymmetry $M_j^{(a)} - M_j^{(\tilde{a})}$ given in eq. 3.6 of ref. [1] has a wrong relative sign between particle and anti-particle contributions. Here we present the derivation of the correct sign and its implications for the limits derived in ref. [1]. We start from eq. (2.9) of [1],

$$M_j^{(a)} - M_j^{(\tilde{a})} \equiv \mu_B \left\langle \bar{\Psi}_a \gamma_j \gamma_5 \Psi_a \right\rangle_0 = \mu_B \left\langle \Psi_a^\dagger \Sigma_j \Psi_a \right\rangle_0 \tag{1}$$

where $\langle\rangle_0$ is an averaged axial-vector current of the a-type particle in the medium, with a magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$=(0,0,B) along the z-axis. The Fermi distributions for the case of leptons are given by

$$\left\langle b_\lambda^{a\dagger} b_{\lambda'}^a \right\rangle_0 = f_{\lambda'\lambda}^{(a)}(k_z, n) = \frac{\delta_{\lambda\lambda'}}{\exp[(\epsilon_{n\lambda}(k_z) - \zeta_a)/T] + 1} \tag{2}$$

where the spectrum is

$$\epsilon_{n\lambda}(k_z) = \sqrt{k_z^2 + m_a^2 + \mid e \mid B(2n+1) - |e|\lambda B} \tag{3}$$

Here k is the momentum, n the Landau number ($n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$) and λ is twice the eigenvalue of the conserved spin projection on the magnetic field, $(\sigma_z)_{\lambda\lambda'} = \lambda\delta_{\lambda\lambda'}$. For anti-leptons one has

$$\left\langle d_\lambda^{a\dagger} d_{\lambda'}^a \right\rangle_0 = f_{-\lambda,-\lambda'}^{(\tilde{a})}(k_z, n) = \frac{\delta_{-\lambda,-\lambda'}}{\exp[(\tilde{\epsilon}_{n\lambda}(k_z) + \zeta_a)/T] + 1} \tag{4}$$

where the change in sign of the last term in the spectrum accounts for the opposite electric charge,

$$\tilde{\epsilon}_{n\lambda}(k_z) = \sqrt{k_z^2 + m_a^2 + \mid e \mid B(2n+1) + |e|\lambda B} \tag{5}$$

The lepton contribution $M_j^{(a)}$ to the magnetization asymmetry defined in eq. (1) is correctly given by eq. (3.3) of [1] where, due to the degeneracy of states $\epsilon_{n,-1} = \epsilon_{n+1,1}$, only the $\epsilon_{0,1}$ term survives in summing over Landau numbers. In contrast, for anti-leptons one obtains a negative sign from the re-ordering of the anti-particle Fock operators d^a and $d^{a\dagger}$ in the distribution function eq. (4). Note that this (-) sign *has already been extracted* in the definition of the magnetization asymmetry, eq. (1). From the relation $v_a = C\bar{u}_a^T$ and the conjugation property $-\sigma_3^T = \sigma_2\sigma_3\sigma_2$ we can write

$$-M_z^{(\tilde{a})} = -\mu_B \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|e|B}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_z \; Tr[-\sigma_3^T f^{(\tilde{a})}(k_z, n)] \tag{6}$$

where

$$Tr[-\sigma_3 f^{(\tilde{a})}] = \sum_\lambda (-\lambda) f_{-\lambda,-\lambda}^{(\tilde{a})} \tag{7}$$

Again the sum over Landau numbers is greatly simplified, due to the degeneracy $\tilde{\epsilon}_{n,1} = \tilde{\epsilon}_{n+1,-1}$ that follows from eq. (5). The only term that contributes is that for which $n = 0$ and $\lambda = -1$, leading to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Big[-\frac{1}{\exp[(\tilde{\epsilon}_{n,1} + \zeta_a)/T] + 1} + \frac{1}{\exp[(\tilde{\epsilon}_{n,-1} + \zeta_a)/T] + 1} \Big] \\ = \frac{1}{\exp[(\sqrt{k_z^2 + m_a^2} + \zeta_a)/T] + 1} \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

As a result the magnetization asymmetry is obtained by *subtracting* lepton and anti-lepton contributions,

$$M_z^{(a)} - M_z^{(\tilde{a})} = \mu_B \frac{2|e|B}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^\infty dk_z \, \Big[\frac{1}{\exp[(\sqrt{k_z^2+m_a^2}-\zeta_a)/T]+1} - \frac{1}{\exp[(\sqrt{k_z^2+m_a^2}+\zeta_a)/T]+1}\Big] \tag{9}$$

in contrast to our claim in [1]. To summarize our derivation, notice that the relative minus in eq. (9) follows from the three minus signs obtained above: one from operator ordering, one from the conjugation property, and one from the different way in which the Landau levels are summed over in the case of particles and anti-particles (different helicities in each case). This sign has also been recently derived in ref. [2], using a different approach.

As a result one should use for the magnetic field contribution $f(q,B)$ in Eq. (3.7) of [1] the non-local form

$$f(q,B) = 0.47 G_F e \vec{B}\vec{q} T^2/M_W^2 \tag{10}$$

given by Eq. (12) of [2]. Note that our asymptotic solution for the active-sterile neutrino conversion probability Eq. (4.9) of [1] remains valid, as well as our final expression for that probability after averaging over fast neutrino collisions, Eq. (6.6) of [1]. However, the bounds on active-sterile neutrino mixing parameters derived for a hot charge-symmetric plasma derived in [1] change substantially as a result of the new dispersion relation that follows from eq. (10). Substituting eq. (10) above into Eq. (6.6) of [1] (where $\langle \Delta_B^2 \rangle \equiv \langle f(q,B)^2 \rangle$) we can write the nucleosynthesis bound on neutrino mixing parameters as [1],

$$| \Delta m^2 || \sin 2\theta | \leq 0.76 (2.75)^p \times 10^{-12-8p} \Big(\frac{T}{\mathrm{MeV}}\Big)^{13/2+4p}, \tag{11}$$

where the r.m.s. field scaling parameter p obeys $0 \leq p \leq 3/2$. For the case $p = 0.5$ analyzed in [1,2] the constraint in eq. (11) looks more restrictive than our Eq. (6.9) in [1]. However, the self-consistent condition $\Gamma \gg V_{\nu_e}$ [1] requires the substitution of high temperatures $T \sim T_{QCD} \sim$ 150 MeV into eq. (10) leading to

$$| \Delta m^2 || \sin 2\theta | \; \lesssim 400 \text{ eV}^2 \tag{12}$$

which is substantially weaker than Eq. (6.10) in [1]. This revised constraint is more restrictive than the bounds obtained in the absence of random magnetic fields (Eq. (1.1) [1]) for very small neutrino mixing, $\sin 2\theta \lesssim 2 \times 10^{-3}$.

We acknowledge Per Elmfors who sent us the paper [2] before publication. We would like to stress that, unlike implied in [2], the sign error in eq. (3.6) of [1] does not affect in any way the conclusions reached in subsequent papers, such as those in ref. [3]. We thank H. Nunokawa and S. Pastor for checking this derivation.

References

[1] V. B. Semikoz and J. W. F. Valle, *Nucl. Phys.* **B425** (1994) 65.

[2] P. Elmfors, D. Grasso and G. Raffelt, preprint hep-ph/9605250.

[3] S. Pastor, V. B. Semikoz, J. W. F. Valle *Phys. Lett.* **B369** (1996) 301;
S. Pastor, V. B. Semikoz, J. W. F. Valle *Astropart. Phys.* **3** (1995) 87.

---

[1] Here we assume that magnetic fields survive after re-combination time and seed the galactic magnetic fields.